Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## David A. Jay,<sup>a</sup> Andrew Whiting,<sup>a</sup> Dmitrii. S. Yufit,<sup>a</sup>\* Judith A. K. Howard<sup>a</sup> and Stephen Hermitage<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Chemistry Department, University of Durham, South Rd, Durham DH1 3LE, England, and <sup>b</sup>GlaxoSmithKline, Research and Development, Medicines Research Centre, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage, Hertfordshire SG1 2NY, England

Correspondence e-mail: d.s.yufit@durham.ac.uk

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 120 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.045 wR factor = 0.130 Data-to-parameter ratio = 18.6

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

 $\odot$  2002 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# *p*-Tolylsulfonyl cyanide

The first crystal structure of a sulfonyl cyanide,  $C_8H_7NO_2S$ , has been determined. The molecule has an unusual bond-length distribution, with a very long  $S-C_{sp}$  bond [1.772 (2) Å] and a short  $S-C_{sp}^2$  bond [1.7368 (17) Å].

Received 15 January 2002 Accepted 21 January 2002 Online 31 January 2002

## Comment

(c) R = methyl

(e) R = p-tolyl

(d) R = p-chlorophenyl

Herein we report the first example of the solid-state structure of a sulfonyl cyanide, (I).



Sulfonyl cyanides (1) are important functional groups since they are used as dienophiles in nitrile cycloaddition reactions, *e.g.* in aza–Diels–Alder reactions with cyclopentadiene (2) (see reaction Scheme below) to yield cycloadduct (3) after hydrolysis (Jagt & van Leusen, 1974; Morgan *et al.*, 1996). More recently, Lewis-acid-catalysed and asymmetric cycloaddition reactions have also been reported (Katagiri *et al.*, 1996).



The conformation of the molecule is the usual one for aryl sulfones (Kalman *et al.*, 1981), with the CN group almost perpendicular to the Ph ring [torsion angle C8–S1–C1–C6 is 94.1 (2)°]. However, in comparison with *p*-tolylsulfonyl-ethyne (Tykwinski *et al.*, 1993), the S–Csp<sup>2</sup> bond in (I) is much shorter (1.737 versus 1.757 Å) and the S–Csp bond is significantly longer (1.772 versus 1.711 Å, respectively). This difference can be explained by a strong  $\pi$ - $\sigma$ \* interaction between the aromatic ring and the cyano group.



#### Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) (displacement ellipsoids are at the 50% probability level).

Molecules of (I) form stacks in the crystal, with a significant lateral displacement between molecules (Figs. 2 and 3). The shortest intermolecular contact between atoms in the stack is  $C2 \cdots C6(x, 3/2-y, 1/2+z)$ , at 3.684 (2) Å. The shortest contact between adjacent stacks  $[C5-H5\cdotsO1(2-x, 3/2+y, 1/2-z): C\cdotsO = 3.409 (2) Å$  and  $C-H\cdotsO = 138 (2)^{\circ}]$  corresponds to a weak  $C-H\cdotsO$  bond.



## Figure 3

The stacking of molecules of (I) viewed perpendicular to the aromatic ring plane.

#### Crystal data

#### Data collection

Bruker SMART 6000 diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: none 6296 measured reflections 2542 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$   $wR(F^2) = 0.130$  S = 0.972542 reflections 137 parameters  $D_x = 1.424 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 1807 reflections  $\theta = 2.8-30.5^{\circ}$  $\mu = 0.34 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 120 (2) KPrism, colourless  $0.40 \times 0.18 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

1742 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   $R_{\text{int}} = 0.051$   $\theta_{\text{max}} = 30.5^{\circ}$   $h = -8 \rightarrow 9$   $k = -21 \rightarrow 21$  $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$ 

All H-atom parameters refined  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.075P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.46 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.37 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

## Experimental

Tosyl cyanide (500 mg) was gently warmed in 300 ml of petroleum ether (313–333 K) which had been freshly distilled from calcium hydride. The warm solution was filtered and evaporated over a water bath *in vacuo* to give an extremely concentrated solution. Crystallization was effected by slow evaporation under reduced pressure.



**Figure 2** Packing diagram viewed along the *c* axis.

Table 1	
Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).	

S1-01	1.4217 (14)	C1-C2	1.390 (3)
S1-O2	1.4234 (14)	C2-C3	1.387 (2)
S1-C1	1.7368 (17)	C3-C4	1.391 (2)
S1-C8	1.772 (2)	C4-C5	1.394 (2)
N1-C8	1.132 (2)	C4-C7	1.503 (2)
C1-C6	1.387 (2)	C5-C6	1.382 (2)
O1-S1-O2	121.38 (9)	C3 - C2 - C1	118.22 (16)
O1-S1-C1	111.51 (9)	C2-C3-C4	121.33 (17)
O2-S1-C1	110.34 (8)	C3-C4-C5	118.78 (16)
O1-S1-C8	105.26 (9)	C3-C4-C7	120.52 (16)
O2-S1-C8	104.50 (9)	C5-C4-C7	120.70 (16)
C1-S1-C8	101.49 (8)	C6-C5-C4	121.17 (16)
C6-C1-C2	121.88 (16)	C5-C6-C1	118.62 (17)
C6-C1-S1	118.26 (13)	N1-C8-S1	177.09 (18)
C2-C1-S1	119.86 (13)		

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve

structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

DSY is grateful to the EPSRC for financial support. We also thank the EPSRAC and GlaxoSmithKline for a CASE studentship to DJ (GR/99314731).

## References

Bruker (1997). SMART, SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Jagt, J. C. & van Leusen, A. M. (1974). J. Org. Chem. 39, 564-566.
- Kálmán, A., Czugler, M. & Argay, Gy. (1981). *Acta Cryst.* B**37**, 868–877. Katagiri, N., Makino, M., Tamura, T. & Kaneko, C. (1996). *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*
- 44, 850–852.
  Morgan, P. E., McCague, R. & Whiting, A. (1996). *Chem. Commun.* 15, 1811–1812.
- Tykwinski, R. R., Williamson, B. L., Fischer, D. R., Stang, P. J. & Arif, A. M. (1993). J. Org. Chem. 58, 5235.